

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,934	04/12/2004	Osamu Shimomura	2018-876	2148
23117 7	590 03/20/2006		EXAMINER	
	ANDERHYE, PC LEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOO	∩R	AURORA	, REENA
ARLINGTON.	-	SK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. <u></u>			<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/821,934	SHIMOMURA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Reena Aurora	2862	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ja</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims	A parto Quayio, 1000 C.D. 11, 10		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 13 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,7-12, 14 - 18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2 - 6 and 19 - 20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to amendment received on 01/04/06.

Applicant has added new claims 13 – 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 7, 9 – 12, 14 and 16 - 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Muraji et al. (6,867,582).

As to claims 1, 11 and 12, Muraji et al. (hereinafter Muraji) discloses a position sensor including a magnetic flux generating means (114, fig. 4) including a magnet for generating magnetic fluxes; a magnetism sensing element (113) responsive to the magnetic fluxes passing therethrough to detect a relative turning angle between the magnetic flux generating means (114) and the magnetism sensing element (113) from the magnetic fluxes passing therethrough; and a magnetic flux reducing means (330, fig. 27(c)) for passing therethrough a part of the magnetic fluxes generated by the magnet (114) thereby to reduce the magnetic fluxes passing through the magnetism sensing element (113) only when the relative turning angle between the magnetic flux

Art Unit: 2862

generating means and the magnetism sensing element is within a predetermined range of turning angles, wherein at least one of the magnetic flux reducing means and the magnetic flux generating means (114) is rotatable relative to the other of the magnetic flux reducing means (330) and the magnetic flux generating means and the magnetic flux generating means.

As to claim 14, Muraji discloses a position sensor including a magnetic flux generating means (114, fig. 4) including a magnet for generating magnetic fluxes; a magnetism sensing element (113) responsive to the magnetic fluxes passing therethrough to detect a relative turning angle between the magnetic flux generating means (114) and the magnetism sensing element (113) from the magnetic fluxes passing therethrough; and a magnetic flux reducing means (330, fig. 27(c)) for passing therethrough a part of the magnetic fluxes generated by the magnet (114) thereby to reduce the magnetic fluxes passing through the magnetism sensing element (113) only when the relative turning angle between the magnetic flux generating means and the magnetism sensing element is within a predetermined range of turning angles, wherein the magnetism sensing element (113) is positioned between two generally semicolumnar cores (111, 112a) made of magnetic material; and the magnetic flux reducing means includes a magnetic shortcut (330) provided between the cores and nearer to the magnetic force generating means than to the magnetism sensing element, the magnetic shortcut being narrower than a radial thickness of the sensing element.

As to claim 18, Muraji discloses a position sensor including a magnetic flux generator (114, fig. 4) including a magnet for generating magnetic fluxes; a magnetism

Art Unit: 2862

sensing element (113) responsive to the magnetic fluxes passing therethrough to detect a relative turning angle between the magnetic flux generator (114) and the magnetism sensing element (113) from the magnetic fluxes passing therethrough; and a magnetic flux reducer (330, fig. 27(c)) for passing therethrough a part of the magnetic fluxes generated by the magnet (114) thereby to reduce the magnetic fluxes passing through the magnetism sensing element (113) only when the relative turning angle between the magnetic flux generatorand the magnetism sensing element is within a predetermined range of turning angles, wherein at least one of the magnetic flux reducer and the magnetic flux generator (114) is rotatable relative to the other of the magnetic flux reducer(330) and the magnetic flux generator.

As to claim 7, Muraji discloses the magnetism sensing element (113) is positioned between two generally semicolumnar cores (111, 112a) made of magnetic material; and the magnetic flux reducing means includes a magnetic shortcut (330) provided between the cores and nearer to the magnetic force generating means than to the magnetism sensing element, the magnetic shortcut being narrower than a radial thickness of the sensing element.

As to claims 9 and 16, Muraji discloses that the magnet (114) is divided into two generally semi-cylindrical magnet parts and magnetized in a radial direction; and the magnetism sensing element (113) is disposed between the magnet parts (114) so that the magnetic fluxes pass from one of the magnet parts to the other of the magnet parts through the magnetism sensing element.

As to claims 10 and 17, Muraji discloses the cores (111, 112a) having respective protrusions extending from flat surfaces to provide the shortcut, the flat faces of the cores facing each other; and the protrusions are provided on circumferential ends of the cores thereby to narrow a gap between the cores at the circumferential ends (Note fig. 4 and 27(c)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muraji et al. (6,867,582) in view of Makino et al. (6,693,424).

As to claims 8 and 15, Muraji fails to disclose that the magnetic flux generating means includes two generally semi-cylindrical yokes made of magnetic material and sandwiching the magnet between respective circumferential ends; and the yokes have an inside shape of an ellipse. Makio et al. (hereinafter Makino) discloses a magnetic rotation angle sensor wherein the magnetic flux generating means (4a, 4b, fig. 2) includes two generally semi-cylindrical yokes (1a, 1b) made of magnetic material and sandwiching the magnet between respective circumferential ends; and the yokes have an inside shape of an ellipse (col. 3, lines 30 - 41). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the

Page 6

Art Unit: 2862

device of Muraji in view of Makino to provide the yokes with an inside shape of an ellipse such that magnetic field distribution is gradually changed in proportion to the rotor angular position.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 – 6 and 19 - 20 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 13 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to claim 13, the prior art fails to show magnetic flux reducing means for passing a part of the magnetic fluxes generated by the magnet to reduce the magnetic fluxes passing through the magnetism sensing element only when the relative turning angle between the magnetic flux generating means and the magnetism sensing element is within a predetermined range of turning angles and the magnetic flux reducing means is an external magnetic member. These features taken together with the other limitations of the claim renders the claims allowable over prior art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,934

Art Unit: 2862

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reena Aurora whose telephone number is 571-272-2263. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00 - 3:30.

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, E. Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Reena Aurora